Escherichia coli Isolated from Chickens

A.A.R.Khafagy*, Samah Eid ** and RashaA. Mohammed***

Bacteriology, Mycology and Immunology, Deptartment, Faculty of Vet.Med., Suez Canal University*. Bacteriology Deptartment, Animal Health Research Institute, Dokki, Giza**., Egypt 3Reference Laboratory for quality control on poultry production (RLQP). Animal Health Research Institute, Sharkia Branch, Egypt***.

Abstract

This study assesses the presence of one hundred and fourteen E. *coli* strains recovered from five hundred chicken examined samples including five hundred heart, five hundred livers and five hundred cloacal swabs with a percentage of 12.8 %. *E. coli* is one of the most common isolates in avian diseases, which causes colibacillosis, or act as a major factor in development of acute respiratory disease causing high losses especially between chickens. In addition to the conventional methods used for isolation and identification of E. *coli*, PCR is required as rapid, accurate and specific tool for detection of pathogenic *E. coli* and their virulence genes.

Introduction

Escherichia coli is normally found in the digestive tract of poultry and most strains are non-pathogenic. The pathogenic capacity of *E. coli* for chickens to cause significant diarrheal and extraintestinal diseases has been associated with numerous extrinsic and intrinsic bird related factors and condition. The extrinsic factors include environment, exposure to other infectious agents, virulence and duration of exposure. The intrinsic affecting susceptibility factors includes age, rout of exposure and breed or strain of chicken *Piercv* Avian colibacillosis is (1976). regarded as one of the major causes

of morbidity and mortality, associated with heavy economic losses to poultry industry through its association with various disease conditions. either as primary pathogen secondary or as a pathogen Kwon (2008). The symptoms of colibacillosis are non-specific and differ with age, organs involved and concurrent disease. Chickens of all ages are susceptible to colibacillosis but usually young birds are considered more susceptible Barnes (1997) and Gross (1994). It causes a variety of disease syndromes in poultry including yolk sac infection, omphalitis. respiratory tract infection, swollen head syndrome,

acute colisepticemia, coligranuloma, enteritis, cellulitis and salpingitis. Colibacillosis of poultry is characterized in its acute form by septicemia resulting death and in its subacute form bv pericarditis, airsacculitis and peri hepatitis Calnek (1997). PCR technique is capable of identifying the most highly pathogenic E. coli isolates in a flock. JanBen et al. (2001). Based on the fact that virulence varies not only among different species but also among strains of the same species. Thus, numerous studies have been conducted to identify virulence factors of isolated pathogenic coli strains Е. Kaipainen et al. (2002); Zaki et al. (2004) and Ewers et al. (2009). Avian pathogenic *E.coli* for poultry commonly belong to certain serogroups 01, 02, 011, 015, 055, 078, 079 and 0111 Gross (1994) and Bopp et al. (2005). The pathogenic and non- pathogenic strains in poultry are differentiated based on the virulence, which has been attributed to various factors including those encoding for adhesions (F1, P, and stg fimbriae, curli, and EA/I), anti-host defense factors

(ompA,iss,lipopolysaccharide, and K1), iron acquisition systems (aerobactin, iroproteins, yersiniabactin, and the sit iron acquisition locus), auto transporters (tsh,vat, and aatA), the phosphate transport system, sugar metabolism, the ibeA protein and motility *Dho*–*Moulin(1999)*.

This study planned for was bacteriological characterization of chicken E. coli isolates and detection of some virulence genes of the isolated strains by using PCR. Therefore, the present study was planned to determine the prevalence and serotypes of avian pathogenic E. coli (APEC) strains in broilers farms in winter and summer seasons in Sharkia Governorate, Egypt and detection of some virulence genes of the isolated strains by using polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Thus, the current study was undertaken to:

1- Isolate *E. coli* from organs and cloacal swabs samples

2- Identify E. *coli* isolates microscopically, biochemically and serologically.

3- Detect toxigenic genes (*iss*, *omp*A, *pap*C, *tsh*, *iro*N, *eae*A, sxt1and *sxt*2) in E. *coli* isolates using PCR.

Material and Methods:

Examination of five hundred samples were collected from different sources in Sharkia province, Egypt. Five hundred samples were collected from (liver, heart and cloacal swabs) of broilers that had died from colibacillosis with typical preceding symptoms like septicemia, respiratory infections and premature death. Samples were aseptically collected in sterile containers and immediately transported in an icebox to the laboratory for further bacteriological examination according to Konemann et al. (1997). the technique recommended by Cruickshank et al., (1975) and Quinn et al. (2002) and MOLECULAR DETECTION OF VIRULENCE GENES

E. coli isolates were isolated using OIA prep Spin Miniprep Kit (QIAGEN GmbH. Hilden. Germany). Screening for the presence of virulence genes was carried out by PCR amplifications using specific primers and different cycling conditions as previously described Sambrook et al. (1989) The PCR products were tested for positive amplification by agarose gel electrophoresis. For each PCR experiment, appropriate positive and negative controls were included.

Material used for extraction of DNA

QIAamp DNA Mini Kit Catalogue no.51304

oligonucleotide primers used in cPCR Eight pairs of primers were supplied from metabion (Germany) or Biobasic (Canada).

They have specific sequence and amplify specific products as shown in **Table (1)**.

Material used for Agarose gel electrophoresis (Agarose 1.5%) according to Sambrook *et al.*, (1989)

A multi-purpose, high gel strength agarose suitable for a wide range of molecular biology techniques. As it has high gel strength and exclusion limits, multi AB garose could effectively separate large DNA fragments with reduced running times. This in turn means less band diffusion, a problem often associated with long running times.

It was prepared as follow: Agarose 1.5%

Agarose powder (ABgene) 1.5 g TBE 100 ml

Ethidium bromide solution 10 mg / ml Sambrook *et al.*, (1989)

Ethedium bromide powder (Sigma) 10 mg

Sterile DDW 1.0 ml

It was mixed and stored covered at 4° C It was added to melted agarose to reach a final concentration of 0.1-0.5 µg/ml.

TrisborateEDTA(TBE)electrophoresisbuffer(1x)WHO, (2002)

Tris buffer (Fluka) 10.78 g

Boric acid (Fluka) 5.5 g

EDTAdiNA (Winlab) 0.82g

It was brought up to 1 liter with deionized water, pH was checked up. If the pH was out of the range of 8-8.6, a new solution was prepared again.

Any change in ion concentration would affect the migration of the DNA through the gel.

Equipment and apparatuses used in cPCR

Calibrated cylinders, Glass flasks, PCR tubes 0.2 ml capacity, Balance (Scaltec), Microwave (Panasonic), Monochannel micropipette (2-20 µl) (Biohit), Sterile filter tips, Gel casting apparatus (Biometra), T3 Thermal cycler (Biometra), Power supply (Biometra), Type II A biosafety cabinet. (Thermo), Gel documentation system (Alpha Innotech), Deionizer (Millipore) and Double distillator (Sanyo).

Extraction of *DNA* **according to a QIAamp DNA Mini Kit** Catalogue no.51304 according to the manufacturer's instructions (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, CA, United States)

Preparation of PCR Master Mix according to Emerald Amp GT PCR mastermix (Takara) Code No. RR310A kit as shown in table (2).

Temperature and time conditions of the primers during PCR are shown in table (3).

DNA Molecular weight marker

The ladder was mixed gently by pipetting up and down. 6μ l of the required ladder were directly loaded.

Agarose gel electrophoresis (Sambrook *et al.*, 1989) with modification

Electrophoresis grade agarose (1.5 g) was prepared in 100 ml TBE

buffer in a sterile flask, it was heated in microwave to dissolve all granules with agitation, and allowed to cool at 70°C, then 0.5μ g/ml ethedium bromide was added and mixed thoroughly.

The warm agarose was poured directly in gel casting apparatus with desired comb in apposition and left at room temperature for polymerization.

The comb was then removed, and the electrophoresis tank was filled with TBE buffer. Twenty μ l of each uniplex PCR product and and 40 μ l of each duplex PCR product and negative and positive controls were loaded to the gel. The power supply was 1-5 volts/cm of the tank length. The run was stopped after about 30 min and the gel was transferred to UV cabinet.

The gel was photographed by a gel documentation system and the data was analyzed through computer software.

Gene	Primer sequence	Length of	Reference		
	(5'-3')	amplified product			
iss	F:ATGTTATTTTCTGCCGCTCTG	266 bp	Yaguchi <i>et al.</i> , 2007		
	R:CTATTGTGAGCAATATACCC				
Tsh	F:GGT GGT GCA CTG GAG TGG	620 bp	Delicato et al., 2003		
	R:AGT CCA GCG TGA TAG TGG				
iroN	F:ATC CTC TGG TCG CTA ACT G	847 bp	Ewers et al., 2007		
	R:CTG CAC TGG AAG AAC TGT TCT				
pap <i>C</i>	F:TGATATCACGTCAGTAGC	501 bp	Wen-jie <i>et al.</i> , 2008		
	R:CCGGCCATATTCACATAA				
ompA	F:AGCTATCGCGATTGCAGTG	919 bp	Ewers et al., 2007		
	R:GGTGTTGCCAGTAACCGG				
eaeA	F:ATG CTT AGT GCT GGT TTA GG	248 bp	Bisi-Johnson et al., 2011		
	R:GCC TTC ATC ATT TCG CTT TC				
Stx1	F:ACACTGGATGATCTCAGTGG	614 bp	Dipineto et al., 2006		
	F: CTGAATCCCCCTCCATTATG				
Stx2	F:CCATGACAACGGACAGCAGTT	779 bp			
	R:CCTGTCAACTGAGCAGCACTTTG				

Table (1): Oligonucleotide primers sequences.

DNA Molecular weight marker

Gel Pilot 100 bp ladder (cat. no. 239035) supplied from QIAGEN (USA). Number of bands: 6 Size range: 100-600 bp.

Gene ruler 100 bp DNA ladder (cat. no. SM0243) supplied from Fermentas.

Number of bands: 10 Size range: 100-1000 bp

Table (2): Preparation of PCR Master Mix:

Component	Volume/reaction					
Emerald Amp GT PCR mastermix (2x premix)	12.5 µl					
PCR grade water	4.5 µl					
Forward primer (20 pmol)	1 μl					
Reverse primer (20 pmol)	1 µl					
Template DNA	6 µl					
Total	25 µl					
Preparation of stx1, stx2 multiplex PCR Master N	Preparation of stx1, stx2 multiplex PCR Master Mix					
Component	Volume/reaction					
Emerald Amp GT PCR mastermix (2x premix)	25 µl					
PCR grade water	13 µl					
Forward primer (20 pmol)	1 <i>µl</i> each					
Reverse primer (20 pmol)	1 <i>µl</i> each					
Template DNA	8 µl					
Total	50 <i>µl</i>					

Table 3: Cycling conditions of the primers during PCR

Gene	Primary denaturation	Secondary denaturation	Annealing	Extension	No. of cycles	Final extension	
iss	94°C	94°C	54°C	72°C	35	72°C	
	5 min.	30 sec.	30 sec.	30 sec.		7 min.	
tsh	94°C	94°C	54°C	72°C	35	72°C	
	5 min.	30 sec.	40 sec.	45 sec.		10 min.	
iroN	94°C	94°C	50°C	72°C	35	72°C	
	5 min.	30 sec.	40 sec.	50 sec.		10 min.	
papC	95°C	94°C	58°C	72°C	35	72°C	
	5 min.	30 sec.	40 sec.	40 sec.		10 min.	
ompA	95°C	94°C	58°C	72°C	35	72°C	
	5 min.	30 sec.	40 sec.	1min.		12 min.	
eaeA	94°C	94°C	51°C	72°C	35	72°C	
	5 min.	30 sec.	30 sec.	30 sec.		7 min.	
stx1,	94°C	94°C	58°C	72°C	35	72°C	
stx2	5 min.	30 sec.	40 sec.	45 sec.		10 min.	

50 µl

Results & Discussion:

identification Hence. rapid of pathogenic E. *coli* strains and detection of their virulence and resistance genes allow the rapid diagnosis of pathogenic E. coli, so the use of PCR is a powerful molecular biological technique. It provides rapid, reliable results and shows high sensitivity and specificity in the detection some important virulence genes and resistance genes among E. coli isolates (Eid and Erfan, 2013).

All isolates were positive for *iss* gene (100%) which had a vital role in *E. coli* pathogenicity and could be a potential target for developing novel therapeutics and prevention strategies. It gave a characteristic band at 266 bp.as shown in **Figure** (7).

Another gene associated with bacterial virulence is *omp*A gene which is responsible for *E. coli* attachment at the host cell were represented in all *E. coli* isolates (100%) as shown in **Figure (3)**,

papC gene which is involved in adhesion of pathogenic E. coli to produced the host cells а pronounced band at 501 bp in all tested E. coli isolates except one isolate from fecal swabs as shown in Figure (5). Furthermore: *tsh* gene which had haemagglutinating activity in APEC produced a pronounced band at 620 bp in all tested E. coli isolates except two isolate from liver and heart samples as shown in **Figure** (6),

iroN gene which helps E. coli to survive in their host aquatic habitat. Amplification of iroN gene produced a pronounced band at 847bp in all tested E. coli isolates except three isolate (63 H, 82 H and 75 LV) as shown in Figure (4). additional factors that contribute to including virulence intimin (encoded by the eae gene), an outer membrane protein involved in the attachment of E. coli to the enterocyte with amplican sizes 248 bp as shown in **Figure (2)**

Besides Shiga toxins stx1 and stx2 not found as shown in **Figure (1)**

Our results confirmed the presence of virulence genes including *iss* gene, *ompA*, *papC*, *tsh*, *iro*N, and *eae* gene in chickens **Table (4)**.

Also (*Dutta et al., 2011*) collected 15 strains were analyzed by PCR and detect *stx*1, *stx*2 and *eae*A genes. (*Parreira and Gyles, 2002*) found *stx*1 and *stx*2 genes in *E. coli* isolated from avian samples. (*Zakeri, 2014*) detected *eae*A gene in *E. coli* isolated from chicken samples.

Absence of STEC stx1 and stx2 gene which get in parallel with Farooq et al., (2009) and Wani et al., (2004) Similarly, Schroeder et al., (2003) could not isolate STEC from retail chicken and turkey obtained from Washington and Kobayashi et al. (2002) did not observe STEC in fecal samples from 199 broiler chickens in Finland. The detection of STEC in chicken was in contrast with El-

19

Jakee et al. (2012) who detected stx2 by percentage 41.67%.

Concerning to examination of *E. coli* isolates for the presence of Intimin gene, results detected 2out of 12 *E. coli*. These findings were nearly agreed with those obtained from (*Dutta et al., 2011*) who detected *eae*A in 4 *E. coli* strains out of 10 isolates and (*El- Jakee et al., 2012*) who detected *eae*A in 5 *E. coli* strains out of 12.

stx1 and stx2 genes

lanes (1- 12) represent the tested strains, PCR failed to detect Stx1 and stx2 genes in tested isolates. positive control (Lane. pos) and negative control (Lane. neg).

positive controls represented by field sample that were previously confirmed to be positive by PCR for *Stx*1 and *Stx*2 genes in Reference laboratory for veterinary quality control on poultry production, Animal health research institute.

negative controls represented by buffer without DNA.

eaeA gene

lanes (1- 12) represent the tested strains, Lanes 2,7 *E. coli* isolate (code No.

22H and 73 LV) showed *eaeA* gene positive PCR products

positive control (Lane. pos) and negative control (Lane. neg).

positive controls represented by field sample that were previously confirmed to be positive by PCR for Stx1 and Stx2 genes in Reference laboratory for veterinary quality control on poultry production, Animal health research institute.

negative controls represented by buffer without DNA

ompA gene

lanes (1- 12) represent the tested strains, Lanes 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12 *E. coli* isolates (code No. 1H, 22 H, 63 H, 82 H, 42 LV, 72 LV, 73 LV, 75 LV, 52 F, 76 F, 8F, 95 F) showed *omp*A gene positive PCR product

positive control (Lane. pos) and negative control (Lane. neg).

IroN gene

lanes (1- 12) represent the tested strains, Lanes 1,2, 5,6,7, 9,10,11,12 E. *coli* isolates (code No. 1H, 22 H, 42 LV, 72 LV, 73 LV, 52 F, 76 F, 8F, 95 F) showed IroN gene positive PCR product. while line number (3,4, 8) (code No. 63 H, 82H, 75 LV) were negative.

positive control (Lane. pos) and negative control (Lane. neg)

papC gene

lanes (1- 12) represent the tested strains, Lanes 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8, 10,11,12 E. *coli* isolates (code No. 1H, 22 H, 63 H, 82 H, 42 LV, 72 LV, 73 LV, 75 LV, 76 F, 8F, 95 F) showed *Pap*C gene positive PCR product. while lane number (9) (code No. 52F) was negative. positive control (Lane. pos) and negative control (Lane. neg)

tsh gene

lanes (1- 12) represent the tested strains, Lanes 1,2,3 ,5,6,7, 9,10,11,12 E. *coli* isolates (code No. 1H, 22 H, 63 H, 42 LV,72 LV, 73 LV, 52 F, 76 F, 8F, 95 F) showed *Tsh* gene positive PCR product positive.while lane number (4,8) (code 82H and 75LV) were negative.

Positive control (Lane. pos) and negative control (Lane. neg)

iss gene

lanes (1-12) represent the tested strains, Lanes 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12 *E. coli* isolates (code No. 1H, 22 H, 63 H, 82 H, 42 LV, 72 LV, 73 LV, 75 LV, 52 F, 76 F, 8F, 95 F) showed *iss* gene positive PCR product. positive control (Lane. pos) and negative control (Lane. neg)

E. coli is one of the most common isolates in avian diseases, which causes colibacillosis, or act as a major factor in development of acute respiratory disease causing losses especially between high addition chickens. In to the conventional methods used for isolation and identification of E. coli, PCR is required as rapid, accurate and specific tool for

detection of pathogenic *E. coli* and their virulence genes.

From the current study, the following points could be concluded:

□ □ Bacterial examination confirmed cases of colibacillosis from which 114 *E. coli* strains were isolated.

 $\Box \Box$ All isolates had characteristic biochemical features of *E. coli*.

□ PCR technique leads to an early diagnosis on the pathogenicity of APEC strains, reducing the time of 3-7 days to achieve by conventional techniques to maximum 24 hours.

study confirmed that E. coli is known as of the most important one pathogenic agents causing disease in poultr. The pathogenicity of the strain is caused by presence of at least six virulence genes as ompA (outer membrane protein) gene, iss gene, papC gene, tsh gene, iroN gene and eaeA (intimin or E. coli attaching and effacing) gene





Figure (1): Electrophoretic pattern of the PCR products of stx1 and stx2 genes of E. coli

Stx1 at (614 bp) and stx2 at (779 bp) genes Lane L: Ladder 100 bp (100-1000),

eaeA gene



Figure (2): *Electrophoretic pattern of the PCR products of eaeA gene of E. coli. eaeA* gene at (248bp). Lane L: Ladder 100 bp (100-600),

.ompA gene



Figure (3): *Electrophoretic pattern of the PCR products of ompA gene of E. coli. ompA* gene at (919bp). Lane L: Ladder 100 bp (100-1000), *Iro*N gene



Figure (4): *Electrophoretic pattern of the PCR products of iroN gene of E. coli.* iroN gene at (847bp). Lane L: Ladder 100 bp (100-1000),



Figure (5): *Electrophoretic pattern of the PCR products of papC gene PapC* gene at (501bp). L: Ladder 100 bp (100-600),

tsh gene



Figure (6): *Electrophoretic pattern of the PCR products of tsh gene of E. coli tsh* gene at (620bp). Lane L: Ladder 100 bp (100-1000),



Figure (7): *Electrophoretic pattern of the PCR products of iss gene of E. coli iss* gene at (266bp). Lane L: Ladder 100 bp (100-600),

Table (4): Distribution of virulence genes detected in E. coli strains isolatedfrom both liver &heart blood and fecal swabs samples

Sample	ID	Serotype	Туре	stx1	stx2	eaeA	ompA	iroN	papC	tsh	iss
1 H	1	O44 : H11	EPEC	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
22 H	2	O78 : H4	EPEC	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
63 H	3	O91 : H11	EHEC	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+
82 H	4	O1 : H11	EPEC	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+
42 LV	5	O128: H6	ETEC	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
72 LV	6	O91 : H11	EHEC	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
73 LV	7	O2 : H2	EPEC	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
75LV	8	O125	ETEC	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+
52F	9	O2 : H7	EPEC	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	+
76 F	10	O78 : H4	EPEC	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
8 F	11	O121 : H6	EHEC	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
95 F	12	O78 : H4	EPEC	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+

References

Barnes, H. J. and Gross, W. B. (**1997**): Colibacillosis. pp. 131– 141. In: Diseases of Poultry, 10th ed.

Bisi-Johnson, M.A.; Obi, C.L.; Vasaikar, S.D.; Baba, K.A. and Hattori, T. (2011): Molecular basis of virulence in clinical isolates of *Escherichia coli* and Salmonella species from a tertiary hospital in the Eastern Cape, South Africa. Gut Pathogens 2011, 3:9.

Bopp, C. A.; Brenner, F. W.; Wells, J. G. and Strockbine, N. A. (2005): *Escherichia*, *Shigella* and *Salmonella*. In: Manual of Clinical Microbiology. (Murray, P. R., Baron, E. J. Pfaller, M. A. Tenover, F. C. Yolken, R. H. Eds.). American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC. 459- 474.

Calnek, B.W., H.J. Barnes, C.W. Beard, L.R. McDougald and Y.M. Saif, (1997): Diseases of Poultry. Iowa State University Press, Ames, IA., USA., Pages: 1080.

Cruickshank, R.; Dugi, J. P.; Marmion, B. R. and swain, R. H. A. (1975): Medical Microbiology, 12th Ed., Living stone, London, New York, 812-825.

Delicato, E.R.; de Brito, B.G.; Gaziri , L.C.J. and Vidotto, M.C. (2003): Virulence-associated genes in *Escherichia coli* isolates from poultry with colibacillosis. Veterinary Microbiology 94 (2003) 97–103.

Dho-Moulin,M.andFairbrother,J.M. (1999):

Avianpathogenic E. coli(APEC). Vet. Res., 30: 299-316.

Dipineto, L.; Santaniello, A.; Fontanella, M.; Lagos, K.; Fioretti, A. and Menna, L. F. (2006): Presence of Shiga toxinproducing *E. coli* O157:H7 in living layer hens. Letters in Applied Microbiology. 43(3): 293–295.

Dutta, T. K.; Roychoudhury, P.; Bandyopadhyay, S.; Wani, S. A. and Hussain, I. (2011): Detection & characterization of Shiga toxin- producing *E. coli* (STEC) & enteropathogenic *E. coli* (EPEC) in poultry birds with diarrhea. Indian J. Med. Res., 133, pp. 541-545.

Eid S A S and Erfan A M (2013): Characterization of E. *coli* associated with high mortality of poultry flocks. Assiut Vet. Med. J., 59 (139).

El-Jakee, J. K.; Mahmoud, R. M.; Samy, A. A.; El-Shabrawy, M. A.; Effat, M. M. and Gad El-Said, W. A. (2012): Molecular Characterization of *E. coli* Isolated from Chicken, Cattle and Buffaloes. International Journal of Microbiological Research, 3(1): 64-74.

Ewers, C.; Li, G.; Wilking, H.; Kiebling, S.; Alt, K.; Antáo, E.M.; Laturnus, C.; Diehl, I.; Glodde, **S**.: Homeier, T.; Böhnke, U.; Steinrück, H.; Philipp, **H.C.;** Wieler. L.H. (2007): Avian uropathogenic, pathogenic, and meningitis-causing newborn Escherichia coli and How closely related they?. International are

Journal of Medical Microbiology 297 (2007) 163–176.

Ewers, C.; Antao, E. M.; Diehl, I.; Philipp, H. C. and Wieler, L. H. (2009): Intestine and environment of the chicken as reservoirs for extraintestinal pathogenic *E. coli* strains with zoonotic potential. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 75: 184-192.

Farooq, S.; Hussain, I.; Mir, M. A.; Bhat, M. A. and Wani, S. A. (2009): Isolation of atypical enteropathogenic E. coli and Shiga toxin 1 and 2f- producing E. coli from avian species in India. Appl. Microbiol., 48(6): Lett. 692-697.

Gross, W. G. (1994): Diseases due to *E. coli* in poultry, pp. 237–259. In Gyles, C. L. (ed.), *E. coli* in domestic animals and humans. CAB International, Wallingford, United Kingdom.

JanBen, T.; Schwarz, C.; Preikschat, P.; Voss, M.; Philipp, H. C. and Wieler, L. H. (2001): Virulence-associated genes in avian pathogenic *E.coli* (APEC) isolated from internal organs of poultry having died from colibacillosis". Inter. J. Med. Microbiol., 291(5): 371-378.

Kaipainen, T., Pohianvitra, T., Sphigel, N and Pelkonen, S. (2002): Virulence factors of *E.coli* isolated from bovine clinical mastitis. J. Vet. Microbiol., 26 (1): 37-46.

Kobayashi, H.; Pohjanvirta, T. and Pelkonan, S. (2002): Prevalence and characteristics of intimin and Shiga toxin-producing *E.coli* from gulls, pigeons and broilers in Finland. Journal of Veterinary Medical Science 64: 1071–1073.

Konemann, E. W.; Allen, S. D.; Janda, W. M.; Schreckenberger, P. C. and Winn, W. C. (1997): Color atlas and textbook of diagnostic microbiology. 5th Ed. J. B. Lippincott Co., 1296-1395.

Kwon, S. G.; Cha, S. Y.; Choi, E. J.; Kim, B.; Song, H. J. and Jang, H. K. (2008): Epidemiological prevalence of avian pathogenic *E.coli* differentiated by multiplex PCR from commercial chickens and hatchery in Korea. Journal of Bacteriology and Virology. Volume 38. No. 4. pp.

179-188.

Parreira, V. R. and Gyles, C. L. (2002): Shiga toxin genes in avian *E.coli*.Vet. Microbiol., 87(4), 341-352.

Piercy, D.W.T. and West, B. (1976) : Experimental Escherichia *coli* infection in broiler chickens: Course of the disease induced by inoculation via the air sac route. J. Comp. Pathol., 86: 203-210.

Quinn, P.J.;Markey, B.K.; Carter, M.E.; Donnelly, W.J.C. and Leonard, F.C. (2002):Veterinary Microbiology and microbial diseases. Blackwell science, UK.

Sambrook J, Fritsch E F and Maniatis T (1989): Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. 2nd Edition.Cold spring harbor, N.Y.: Cold spring harbor laboratory.

Schroeder, C. M.; White, D. G.; Ge, B.; Zhang, Y.; McDermott, P. F.; Ayers, S.; Zhao, S. and Meng, J. (2003): Isolation of antimicrobial resistant E. coli from retail meats purchased in Greater Washington, DC. USA. International Journal of Food Microbiology 85: 197-202.

Wani, S. A.; Samanta, I.; Bhat, M. A. and Nishikawa, Y. (2004): Investigation of Shiga toxinproducing *E.coli* in avian species in India. Letters in Applied Microbiology, 39: 389–394.

Wen-jie J, Zhi-ming Z, Yong-zhi Z, Ai-jian Q, Hong-xia S, Yuelong L, Jiao W, and Qian-qian W. (2008): Distribution of Virulence-Associated Genes of Avian Pathogenic *Escherichia coli* Isolates in China. Agricultural Sciences in China, 7(12): 1511-1515.

WHO (2002): World Health organization. Department of

communicable diseases surveillance and response.

Yaguchi, K.; Gitano, T.; Oshawa, R.; Kawano, M.; Kokumai, N.; Kaneshige, T.; Noro,T.; Mabuchi, K. and Shimizu, Y.(2007): Virulence Factors of Avian Pathogenic *Escherichia coli* Strains Isolated from Chickens with Colisepticemia in Japan. Avian Dis. 2007 Sep;51(3):656-62.

Zakeri, A. (2014): Detection of the *eaeA* Gene in *E. coli* Isolated from Broiler Chickens by Polymerase Chain Reaction. Iranian Journal of Applied Animal Science. Volume: 4; NO. 2: 417- 420.

Zaki, E., Riad, E., Sobhy, N. (2004): Correlation between *E.coli* serotypes isolated from buffalo mastitis milk with different virulence patterns. J. Egypt Vet. Med. Assoc., 64 (3): 53-63.

الملخص العربى

"عوامل الضراوه والتصنيف الجيني لميكروب الايشيريشيا كولاى المعزوله من الدجاج " تعد الإيشيريشيا كولاى احدى الكائنات الدقيقة الطبيعية الموجودة فى أمعاء الدواجن ولكن بعض السلالات تمتلك عوامل الضراوة وتسبب مرض الكولي باسيلوزيس والذي يعد أحد أهم الأمراض التي تصيب الدواجن و يؤدى الي خسائر اقتصادية فادحة في صناعة الدواجن في أنحاء كثيرة من العالم، لذا تهدف هذه الدراسة لتحديد مدى انتشار الإيشيريشيا كولاى و مناقشة توزيع جينات الضراوة عبر الإيشيريشيا كولاى المعوية المنزفة فى الدجاج .

تم تجميع خمسمائه عينة من الدجاج (ا المريضة) والتي تعاني من التهاب غشاء التامور ، التهاب حوائط الكبد ، و التهاب الاكياس الهوائية في محافظة الشرقية . وقد خضعت كل العينات للعزل و التصنيف البيوكميائي للاشيرشيا كولاى. وقد تبين بالتحليل البكتيرى تواجد الميكروب القولونى بنسبه (٢٤ ٪) من العينات التي تم جمعها. تم إجراء تفاعل إنزيم البلمرة المتسلسل على خمسة عشر عترة من الإيشيريشيا كولاى للكشف عن ثمانية جينات ضراوة والتي لها دورهام في ضراوة عترات الإيشيريشيا كولاى وكانت هذه الجينات عاد و stx1 و eaeA و وaeA و may و ompA و stx . وقد أعطى كل جين منطقة مميزة عند الوزن الجزيئي

*eae*A gene 248 bp, *omp*A gene 919 bp, *iro*N gene 847 bp, *pap*C gene 501 bp, *tsh* gene 620 bp and *iss* gene 266 bp

بينما كلا من stx1 وstx2 سلبي في كل العينات وقد اوضحت الدراسات ان نسبة الكشف عن كلا من جين iss gene و iss gene و ompA 100%. بينما papC و tsh gene يليهم iroN ثم eaeA gene كانت نسبتهم علي التوالي ٩١,٦ % و ٨٣,٨ %و ٢٥ % و ١٦,٧ %.