Evaluation of Lactoferrin Immunomodulatory Effect on the Immune Response of Broiler Chickens
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Abstract
Immunomodulators are substances that able to regulate or modulate immune responses. In order to investigate the lactoferrin effect on the immune system of broiler chickens, one hundred twenty (1-day-old) chicks of both sexes were randomly allocated into 2 dietary groups (60 chicks/group), group1 (G1): chicks were offered basal diets and kept as control group, group2 (G2): Chicks were offered basal diets supplemented with lactoferrin (250mg/kg diet for 6 weeks). Evaluating the effects of dietary supplementation with lactoferrin on growth performance of broiler chicks, humeral immunity by measuring antibody titer against Newcastle (ND) and Avian influenza (AIV) vaccine, and on innate immunity by measuring some functions of peripheral blood monocytes (PBM) as phagocytic activity, fungicidal activity, nitric oxide (NO) production and reactive oxygen species (ROS) production by tetrazolium reduction test. To study antibacterial effect of lactoferrin, challenge test was carried out using virulent E. coli strain. The results revealed that, lactoferrin improve growth performance and could stimulate the humeral immunity by increasing the antibody titer against ND and AIV vaccine. Also lactoferrin could enhance the innate immunity by significant increasing of phagocytic and Fungicidal activities of PBM and its production of ROS and NO. Lactoferrin have the ability to protect broiler chickens from virulent agents as E. coli. Therefore, lactoferrin may be used as immunostimulant, growth promoters and antibacterial agent in broiler chickens.
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Introduction
Microbes like bacteria, fungi, viruses, protozoa and multi-cellular parasites are prevalent in our environment and can cause disease if they multiply unchecked. The host defense against microbes is mediated by the early reactions of
innate immunity and the later responses of adaptive immunity (Abbas and Litchman, 2005). The innate immune response is designed to rapidly alert the host of the presence of an invasive microbial pathogen that has breached the integument of multi-cellular eukaryotes (Opal and Esmon, 2003). It is able to eradicate microbial pathogens by activation of specific elements of adaptive immune response, i.e. cell mediated and humoral immunity via T and B cells, respectively. The immune system can be overwhelmed by the aforementioned factors; hence, the need for routine usage of immunomodulators (Porchezhian and Punniamurthy, 2006). Immunomodulatory regimens offer an attractive approach as they often have fewer side effects than existing drugs; including less potential for creating resistance in microbial diseases (Masihi, 2001). Utilization of immuno-stimulants is one solution to improve the immunity of animals and to decrease their susceptibility to infectious disease (Lee et al., 2004).

Lactoferrin is considered to be a part of the innate immune system, at the same time, lactoferrin also takes part in specific immune reactions, but in an indirect way (Legrand et al., 2005). Lactoferrin (an iron-binding glycoprotein), is a cell-secreted mediator that bridges innate and adaptive immune function in mammals. It is a pleiotropic molecule that directly assists in the influence of presenting cells for the development of T-helper cell polarization. These findings are critically relevant in the development of both prophylactic and therapeutic interventions in humans. Understanding these particular effects of lactoferrin will provide a logical framework for determining its role in health and disease (Adlerova et al., 2008). Lactoferrin and its derivatives have pleiotropic functions including broad-spectrum anti-microbial activity, regulation of cell growth and differentiation, and modulation of inflammatory as well as humoral and cellular immune responses (Vander et al., 2007).

This work was planned to investigate the effect of dietary supplementation of lactoferrin on growth performance, humeral immune response against ND and AI vaccine and innate immune response by evaluate some function of peripheral blood monocyte cells (PBM). As well as evaluate the antibacterial effect of lactoferrin by challenge test using virulent E. coli.

Materials and Methods
1-Experimental design:
One hundred twenty (1-day-old) chicks (Hubbard breed) of both sexes were randomly allocated into 2 dietary groups (60 chicks/group). Group 1(G1): Chicks were offered basal diets and kept as control group. Group 2(G2): Chicks were offered basal diets supplemented with lactoferrin (250mg/kg diet)
(Robert, 2009) for 6 weeks (the experimental period).

2. Determination of growth Performance Parameters:

Birds were weighed at first day of age as one day-old weight and then final body weights (gm) were recorded at 42 days of age.

3- Blood sampling:

Blood samples were collected from the 2 groups, and divided into two portions; the first one was collected in plain tubes, for separation of serum and kept frozen at -20ºC tell use. The second blood sample was collected in heparinized tubes and was used for separation of PBM according to (Grievink et al., 2016).

4- Evaluation of humeral immune response of chicks:

Humeral immune response was evaluated at 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th week by detecting antibody titer against ND and AI virus vaccine by haemagglutination inhibition test according to (Miller, 2013).

5- Estimation of Innate immune response:

5.1- Determination of phagocytic activity:

The phagocytic activity of PBM was assessed according to Elmowalid (2012), at 1st, 2nd, 4th and 6th week of age. The results were expressed as phagocytic percentage (number of phagocytic monocytes /total number of monocytes) and phagocytic index (the main of engulfed Candida albicans blastospores per monocyte).

5.2- Fungicidal activity (Intracellular survival assay):

An intracellular survival assay was used to measure the fungicidal activity of PBM at 2nd, 4th and 6th week of age, according to (Peck, 1985).

5.3- Nitric oxide assay:

Nitric oxide production was measured in monocytes culture media using Griess reagent at 1st, 2nd, 4th and 6th week as described by (Blond et al., 2000).

5.4- Reactive oxygen species production:

The reactive oxygen species production of monocytes were determined using Nitro blue tetrazolium reduction test at 2nd, 3rd and 6th week of age, as described by (Anderson and Siwicki, 1995).

6- Challenge Test:

Multidrug resistant virulent E. coli strain (obtained from animal health institute, Dokki) was used at the end of the experiment (42 day of age), for challenge test. The clinical symptoms were recorded since the first day of chicken inoculation for calculation of morbidity and mortality rate, the survived chickens were slaughtered and the internal organs were harvested and prepared to determine total CFU count in each organ of each chicken in each experimental group.

7- Statistical analysis:

The data were given as means ± S.E. The statistical analysis was done using the One Way ANOVA. The significant (*P) values were
taken as $P \leq 0.05$ (McCredie et al., 2006).

Results

1-Effect of dietary supplementation with lactoferrin on growth performance of broiler chickens:
The results shown in Table (1) revealed that chickens fed lactoferrin supplemented diet for 42 days showed a significant increase in final body weight and body weight gain compared to control group.

2-Effect of dietary supplementation with lactoferrin on humoral immune responses of broiler chickens:
2.1-Antibody titers against ND and AI viruses' vaccine of broiler chickens:
As shown in Table (2) chickens fed lactoferrin supplemented diet (G2) showed significant increase in antibody titer of ND and AI vaccines at 2nd and 3rd and 4th week compared to the control group.

3-Effect of dietary supplementation of lactoferrin on the innate immunity:
3.1-phagocytic activity of peripheral blood monocyte cell:
The phagocytic activity of PBM of chickens fed lactoferrin supplemented diet (G2) showed significant increase in the phagocytic % and index at 4th and 6th week compared to the control group (G1) as shown in Table (3) and photo (1).

3.2- Fungicidal activity of peripheral blood monocyte cells:
Fungicidal % of the PBM revealed significant increase in (G2), chickens fed lactoferrin supplemented diet, compared to control group at 2nd, 4th and 6th weeks ($P<0.05$) as shown in Table (4)

3.3- Nitric oxide production (NO)(µmol/ml):
Nitric oxide concentration in PBM culture media of chickens fed lactoferrin supplemented diet (G2), showed a significant increase in NO production at 6th weeks compared with the control group ($P< 0.05$) (Table 4).

3.4- Reactive oxygen species (ROS) production (OD):
The nitro blue tetrazolium reduction test of PBM of chickens fed lactoferrin supplemented diet showed a significant increase on ROS production at 6th weeks compared to control group ($P< 0.05$) (Table 4 and photo. 2).

4-Effect of lactoferrin on susceptibility to infections (challenge test):
Clinical signs of E.coli infection were observed on both challenged groups within 2-3 days post infection, including; fever, ruffling feather, weight loss, and diarrhea, which were more severe in control group(G1) than group fed lactoferrin supplemented diet(G2), as shown in Table (5), morbidity percent recorded 80% in control group, meanwhile it was (33.3%) in lactoferrin supplemented diet.
group. Mortality rate was (60%) in the control group during the course of infection, meanwhile it was (13.3%) in lactoferrin supplemented diet group. As shown in Table (6) there was a significant reduction in E. coli count in the spleen, liver and intestine of chickens fed lactoferrin supplemented diet comparing with the control group.

Table (1): Effect of dietary supplementation of lactoferrin on growth performance of broiler chicks:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Initial body weight (g)</th>
<th>Final body weight (g)</th>
<th>Body weight gain (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>46.00± 0.67b</td>
<td>1779.40±5.89b</td>
<td>1731.79±5.05b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactoferrin</td>
<td>45.49± 0.43a</td>
<td>2221.8±2.64a</td>
<td>2176.28±2.40a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data are presented as (means ± S.E) *Means with different superscript letters in the same column are significantly different at (P ≤ 0.05).

Table (2): Effect of dietary supplementation with lactoferrin on the antibody titer (log2 HI titer) against Newcastle and Avian influenza viruses at different ages:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>ND antibody</th>
<th>AIV antibody</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1st week</td>
<td>2nd week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>4.26± 0.21a</td>
<td>5.89± 0.17b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactoferrin</td>
<td>4.17± 0.29a</td>
<td>5.27± 0.12a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data are presented as (means ± S.E) *Mean with different superscript letters in the same column are significantly different at (P ≤ 0.05).

Table (3): Effect of dietary supplementation with lactoferrin on Phagocytic % and index of the peripheral blood monocytes of broiler chickens:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Phagocytic %</th>
<th>Phagocytic index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1st week</td>
<td>2nd week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>55.00± 2.85a</td>
<td>53.00± 2.66a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactoferrin</td>
<td>56.00± 3.56a</td>
<td>57.00± 3.00a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data are presented as (means ± S.E) *Means with different superscript letters in the same column are significantly different at (P ≤ 0.05).
Table (4): Effect of dietary supplementation with lactoferrin on Fungicidal activity, Nitric oxide production and reactive oxygen species (ROS) production:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Fungicidal %</th>
<th>NO(µmol/ml)</th>
<th>ROS(OD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2nd week</td>
<td>4th week</td>
<td>6th week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2nd week</td>
<td>4th week</td>
<td>6th week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2nd week</td>
<td>4th week</td>
<td>6th week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2nd week</td>
<td>4th week</td>
<td>6th week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2nd week</td>
<td>4th week</td>
<td>6th week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>43.00 ±2.48b</td>
<td>53.00 ±2.24b</td>
<td>52.00 ±1.54b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23.90 ±0.34a</td>
<td>32.10 ±1.36b</td>
<td>29.50 ±1.28b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.034 ±0.003a</td>
<td>0.038 ±0.002a</td>
<td>0.037 ±0.002b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactoferrin</td>
<td>78.00 ±1.83a</td>
<td>79.00 ±1.54a</td>
<td>87.00 ±2.57a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21.60 ±0.31a</td>
<td>33.50 ±1.48a</td>
<td>34.90 ±1.56a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.033 ±0.001a</td>
<td>0.042 ±0.003a</td>
<td>0.057 ±0.001a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data are presented as (means ± S.E) *Mean with different superscript letters in the same column are significantly different at (P ≤ 0.05).

Table (5): Effect of dietary supplementation with lactoferrin on mortality and morbidity rate of broiler chickens after challenge with E.coli:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group Parameter</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Lactoferrin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total No</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morbidity (number)</td>
<td>12 (80%)</td>
<td>5 (33.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality (number)</td>
<td>9 (60%)</td>
<td>2 (13.3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (6): Effect of dietary supplementation of lactoferrin on CFU/ml of E.coli in involved organs of broiler chickens:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organ Group</th>
<th>Spleen</th>
<th>Liver</th>
<th>Intestine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>14× 10^6±577530a</td>
<td>21× 10^7±11574a</td>
<td>16×10^9±1154700a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactoferrin</td>
<td>4× 10^6±288675b</td>
<td>4× 10^7±288.67b</td>
<td>7×10^7±288.68b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data are presented as (means ± S.E) *Mean with different superscript letters in the same column is significantly different at (P ≤ 0.05).
Photo (1): Peripheral blood monocytes cells of broiler chickens fed lactoferrin supplemented diet, engulfed Candida albicans (Giemsa stain X 100).

Photo (2): Peripheral blood monocytes cells of broiler chickens fed lactoferrin supplemented diet have blue formazne deposit granules (Leishman’s stain 100x).

Discussion
In the present work, lactoferrin provided a significant improvement in the final body weight and body weight gain as shown in Table (1), these results are in agreement with the findings of (Humphrey et al., 2002). It was recorded that, oral administration of lactoferrin has been used to stimulate growth performance of the treated animal (Shan et al., 2007). In order to evaluate the effect of dietary supplementation of lactoferrin on humoral immune response of broiler chickens, antibody titers against ND and AIV-vaccines were determined. In the present work, broiler chicks fed supplemented diet with lactoferrin showed significant increase in antibody titer against ND and AIV (Table 2). These findings are in accordance with Kumari and Sahoo (2006).
Regarding to phagocytic activity of PBM (Table 3 and Fig.1), there is a marked increase in the phagocytic % and index in lactoferrin group compared to the control group. These results are in agreement with those obtained by (Arnaud and Evan, 2003; Jenssen and Hancock, 2008). Lactoferrin is promoting the function of phagocytic cells by enhancing phagocytic activity and modifying production of reactive oxygen species (Ward et al., 2008) and activating macrophages through increasing cytokine and NO production and limiting intracellular pathogen proliferation (Puddu et al.,2007).

The fungicidal % of PBM showed a significant increase in lactoferrin treated group compared to control group (Table 4). These results are supported with those obtained by Cirioni et al. (2000) and Lupetti et al. (2008) who reported that lactoferrin has a significant antifungal activity.

In the current study, the nitro blue tetrazolium test (NBT) was used to investigate the effect of lactoferrin on production of reactive oxygen species by PBM, there is a marked increase in ROS at 6th week compared to control group. These findings agree with those obtained by Anand et al. (2015) who revealed that there was a significant increase in production of reactive oxygen species, phagocytic activity, and Toll-like receptor expression in host cells incubated with iron-saturated lactoferrin when compared with control group.

The chickens fed supplemented diet with Lactoferrin(G2) showed significant elevation in NO at 6th week of age, This result agree with those obtained by Sorimachi et al.(1997) who reported that lactoferrin, activates macrophages and induces IL-8, TNF-α and NO production. Lactoferrin activates macrophages through increasing cytokine and NO production and limiting intracellular pathogen proliferation (Longhi et al., 2004 and Puddu et al., 2007).

In the present work, the effect of dietary supplementation of lactoferrin on susceptibility to infections was investigated by challenge test as shown in Tables (5 and 6); the morbidity rate was (80.3%) in control group, while it was (46.4%) in lactoferrin supplemented group. The mortality rate was (60%) in the control, while it was (26.7%) chickens died in the lactoferrin dietary supplemented group. The results revealed that there was a significant reduction in E. coli count in the spleen, liver and intestine of chickens fed on supplemented ration with lactoferrin when compared with the control group. These findings are in accordance with that reported by Ochoa et al. (2008). Lactoferrin was found to degrade and impair the function of the surface expressed virulence factors of E. coli, thereby decreasing their ability to adhere to or invade host cells.
Furthermore, LF has been found to prevent biofilm formation at sub-inhibitory concentrations by stimulating bacterial motility, which causes the bacteria to wander across the surface instead of forming cell clusters (Singh et al., 2002). Moreover, lactoferrin has antimicrobial activity and capability of binding components of bacterial cell walls (LPS) or their receptors, lactoferrin is also bacteriostatic because it sequesters environmental iron, an essential growth factor of bacteria (Legrand et al., 2005).

In conclusion, The current study demonstrated the beneficial effect of the dietary supplementation of lactoferrin as it could stimulate humeral immunity against ND and AI vaccine, as well as enhance the innate immunity by stimulating the PBM function as phagocytic activity, Fungicidal activity and ROS & NO production. Also lactoferrin favored the reduction of E. coli lesions, morbidity, mortality, and number of E. coli in the spleen, liver and intestine of broiler chickens.
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تقييم تأثير مستخلص اللاكتوفيرين كمعذل معياري على الاستجابة المناعية في دجاج التسمين

محمد السيد عاني،1 عبادالعظيم محمد الجمال1، رفيق توفيق سليمان،2 أشجان فهمي السيسي،3 أسامة عبد الله حبيشي

قسم الميكروبيولوجي والفطريات والمناعة، كلية الطب البيطري - جامعة قنا السويس، قسم الميكروبيولوجي والفطريات والمناعة، كلية الطب البيطري - جامعة القاهرة،3 معهد بحوث الصحة الحيوانية بالدقى

معدلات المناعة هي مواد لها القدرة على تنظيم وتعديل الاستجابة المناعية، من أجل تقييم تأثير مستخلص اللاكتوفيرين كمعدل مناعي على جهاز المناعة في دجاج التسمين. تم استخدام 20 نطفة عطر من خلال مدة التجربة (6 أسابيع). وذلك لقياس تأثير مستخلص اللاكتوفيرين على الجهاز المناعي في الدجاج وتقيم هذه الطيور إلى مجموعتين: المجموعة الأولى (مجموعة المراقبة) تتمتع ببعض العيوب الأساسية فقط، المجموعة الثانية تم إضافة مستخلص اللاكتوفيرين إلى العينة. تم بعد ذلك تقييم معدل نمو الطيور في نهاية التجربة وتم قياس المناعة المكتسبة للطائر بقياس تركيز الأجسام المناعية بعد التحصين بلقاح النيكوس والإنفلونزا. بعد ذلك تم قياس مناعة الجسم الطبيعية للطائر وذلك بفضل خلايا الدم البيضاء وحيدى النواة ثم دراسة تأثير اللاكتوفيرين على القدرة الالتهابية لها ضد فطر كاوندا وقياس قدرة الخلايا على إنتاج المركبات الأكسجئية واكسيد النيترات المستخدم في قتل الميكروبات في نهاية التجربة. تم عمل اختبار التحديد عن طريق عمل عدوى عملية بالاشكشياكولاي لقياس مقدرة الطيور على مقاومة الميكروبات. وتم تقييم معدل النتائج والتكرار بين المجموعات. بعد إنتهاء التجربة وجد أن مستخلص اللاكتوفيرين عمل على تحسين معدل نمو الطيور كما عمل على رفع مناعة الطيور الطبيعية والمكتسبة. وبعد عمل اختبار التحديد وجد أن هناك تفاوت في معدل مرض ونفوق الطيور في هذه التي تغذت على عينة تحتوي على اللاكتوفيرين.